

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2018 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_002\_2018\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee

Elshadai Biru, Da Hua Chen, Dean Deng, Eli Goldin, Nelson Gomez \*, Nadav Gov-Ari, John Hui, Suhyun Kim, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Anna Lu, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Trang Pham, Aunoy Poddar, Jaya Subrahmanyam

**Response Rate:** 88/136 (64.71 %)

1 - Overall Quality						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	3.45%		4.48	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	6.90%			
Very Good	(4)	7	24.14%			
Excellent	(5)	19	65.52%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/136 (21.32%)				4.48	0.91	5.00

2 - Knowledgeability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	3.45%		4.59	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	6.90%			
Very Good	(4)	4	13.79%			
Excellent	(5)	22	75.86%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/136 (21.32%)				4.59	0.91	5.00

3 - Approachability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	3.33%		4.37	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	5	16.67%			
Very Good	(4)	5	16.67%			
Excellent	(5)	19	63.33%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
30/136 (22.06%)				4.37	1.00	5.00

4 - Availability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	3.57%		4.54	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	7.14%			
Very Good	(4)	5	17.86%			
Excellent	(5)	20	71.43%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
28/136 (20.59%)				4.54	0.92	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2018 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_002\_2018\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee

Eshadai Biru, Da Hua Chen, Dean Deng, Eli Goldin, Nelson Gomez \* , Nadav Gov-Ari, John Hui, Suhyun Kim, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Anna Lu, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Trang Pham, Aunoy Poddar, Jaya Subrahmanyam

**Response Rate:** 88/136 (64.71 %)

**5 - Communication**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	3.45%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	6.90%					
Very Good	(4)	6	20.69%					
Excellent	(5)	20	68.97%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
29/136 (21.32%)				4.52	0.91	5.00		

**6 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	29	96.67%					
No	(2)	1	3.33%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
30/136 (22.06%)				1.03	0.18	1.00		

**7 - Comments**

**Response Rate** 2/136 (1.47%)

- Great. Strong TA. Really knows the topics we cover in AP. Nice guy.
- Very knowledgeable and a good TA.