

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2018 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2018\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee

Eshadai Biru, Da Hua Chen, Dean Deng, Eli Goldin, Nelson Gomez \*, Nadav Gov-Ari, John Hui, Suhyun Kim, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Anna Lu, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Trang Pham, Aunoy Poddar, Jaya Subrahmanyam

**Response Rate:** 92/135 (68.15 %)

1 - Overall Quality						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.75	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	7	25.00%			
Excellent	(5)	21	75.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
28/135 (20.74%)				4.75	0.44	5.00

2 - Knowledgeability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.78	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	6	22.22%			
Excellent	(5)	21	77.78%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
27/135 (20.00%)				4.78	0.42	5.00

3 - Approachability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.60	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.00%			
Very Good	(4)	8	32.00%			
Excellent	(5)	16	64.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
25/135 (18.52%)				4.60	0.58	5.00

4 - Availability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.00%			
Very Good	(4)	7	28.00%			
Excellent	(5)	17	68.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
25/135 (18.52%)				4.64	0.57	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2018 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2018\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee

Elshadai Biru, Da Hua Chen, Dean Deng, Eli Goldin, Nelson Gomez \* , Nadav Gov-Ari, John Hui, Suhyun Kim, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Anna Lu, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Trang Pham, Aunoy Poddar, Jaya Subrahmanyam

**Response Rate:** 92/135 (68.15 %)

5 - Communication						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.00%			
Very Good	(4)	6	24.00%			
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
25/135 (18.52%)				4.68	0.56	5.00

6 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	25	100.00%	████████████████████		
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
25/135 (18.52%)				1.00	0.00	1.00

7 - Comments	
<b>Response Rate</b>	5/135 (3.7%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• he's good</li> <li>• Nelson is amazing and was able to every question thrown in his way. He is an amazing head of TA and deserved his position 100%.</li> <li>• His emails are the BEST. They're very organized and insanely helpful, you can tell a lot of thought was put into them.</li> <li>• I don't understand how Nelson knows everything so well. He's also very helpful and is great at helping you find the correct method of approaching problems in your code.</li> <li>• Nice TA that is knowledgeable in various topics. Very nice and approachable. A student can find him at OH and also after class. He is always patient.</li> </ul>	